SUCCESSIVE MINIMA AND RADII

MARTIN HENK AND MARÍA A. HERNÁNDEZ CIFRE

ABSTRACT. In this note we present inequalities relating the successive minima of a *o*-symmetric convex body and the successive inner and outer radii of the body. These inequalities build a bridge between known inequalities involving only either the successive minima or the successive radii.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let \mathcal{K}^n be the set of all convex bodies, i.e., compact convex sets with non-empty interior, in the *n*-dimensional Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n , and let \mathcal{K}_0^n be the family of all *o*-symmetric convex bodies, i.e., $K \in \mathcal{K}^n$ with K = -K. Let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and $|\cdot|$ be the standard inner product and Euclidean norm in \mathbb{R}^n , respectively. We denote the *n*-dimensional unit ball by B_n . The volume of a set $M \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, i.e., its *n*-dimensional Lebesgue measure, is denoted by V(M)and we set $\kappa_n = V(B_n)$. If $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is an *i*-dimensional convex body, we write $V^i(K)$ to denote its *i*-dimensional volume.

The set of all *i*-dimensional linear subspaces of \mathbb{R}^n is denoted by \mathcal{L}_i^n . For $L \in \mathcal{L}_i^n$, L^{\perp} denotes its orthogonal complement and for $K \in \mathcal{K}^n$ and $L \in \mathcal{L}_i^n$ the orthogonal projection of K onto L is denoted by K|L. For $M \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, lin M and conv M denote respectively the linear and the convex hull of M.

The diameter, the minimal width, the circumradius and the inradius of a convex body K are denoted by D(K), $\omega(K)$, R(K) and r(K), respectively. For more information on these functionals and their properties we refer to [3, pp. 56–59]. If f is a functional on \mathcal{K}^n depending on the dimension of the space in which a convex body K is embedded, and if K is contained in an affine space A then we write f(K; A) to denote that f has to be evaluated with respect to the space A. With this notation we define the following successive outer and inner radii.

Definition 1.1. For $K \in \mathcal{K}^n$ and i = 1, ..., n let

$$\mathbf{R}_{i}(K) = \min_{L \in \mathcal{L}_{i}^{n}} \mathbf{R}(K|L) \quad and \quad \mathbf{r}_{i}(K) = \max_{L \in \mathcal{L}_{i}^{n}} \max_{x \in L^{\perp}} \mathbf{r}\left(K \cap (x+L); x+L\right).$$

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 52A20, 52C07, 52A40; Secondary 52A39.

Key words and phrases. Successive minima, inner and outer radii.

Second author is supported in part by Dirección General de Investigación (MEC) MTM2004-04934-C04-02 and by Fundación Séneca (C.A.R.M.) 00625/PI/04.

So $R_i(K)$ is the smallest radius of a K containing solid cylinder with *i*-dimensional spherical cross section, and $r_i(K)$ is the radius of the greatest *i*-dimensional ball contained in K. We obviously have

$$R_n(K) = R(K), R_1(K) = \frac{\omega(K)}{2}, r_n(K) = r(K) \text{ and } r_1(K) = \frac{D(K)}{2}.$$

Notice that the outer radii are increasing in i, whereas the inner radii are decreasing in i. We also have for $i \in \{1, ..., n\}$

(1.1)
$$1 \le \frac{\mathbf{R}_i(K)}{\mathbf{r}_{n-i+1}(K)} < i+1.$$

For the lower bound, which is best possible, we refer to [2, Lemma 2.1]. To determine the optimal upper bound is still an open problem, also in the *o*-symmetric case. The bound presented above can be found in [15] (see also [14]). The following relation between the in- and outer radii and the volume of an arbitrary convex body $K \in \mathcal{K}^n$ can be found in [2, Corollary 2.1]:

(1.2)
$$\frac{2^n}{n!} \mathbf{r}_1(K) \cdot \ldots \cdot \mathbf{r}_n(K) \le \mathbf{V}(K) \le 2^n \mathbf{R}_1(K) \cdot \ldots \cdot \mathbf{R}_n(K).$$

In the case when K is o-symmetric, we also have (see [2, Theorem 2.1])

(1.3)
$$\frac{2^n}{n!} \mathbf{R}_1(K) \cdot \ldots \cdot \mathbf{R}_n(K) \le \mathbf{V}(K) \le 2^n \mathbf{r}_1(K) \cdot \ldots \cdot \mathbf{r}_n(K).$$

For more information on these successive radii, their size for special bodies as well as computational aspects of these radii we refer to [1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 12].

Here we are mainly interested in the relations of these radii to the successive minima of a *o*-symmetric convex body with respect to the integer lattice, which we introduce next.

We denote by \mathbb{Z}^n the integer lattice, i.e., the lattice of all points with integral coordinates in \mathbb{R}^n . Then any lattice Λ of \mathbb{R}^n can be obtained as $\Lambda = B\mathbb{Z}^n$ with $B \in \operatorname{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})$, and the determinant of the lattice is det $\Lambda =$ $|\det B|$ (see [9, p. 23]).

For $K \in \mathcal{K}_0^n$ and a lattice Λ , the *i*-th successive minimum $\lambda_i(K, \Lambda)$ of K with respect to Λ , $i = 1, \ldots, n$, is defined as

$$\lambda_i(K,\Lambda) = \min\{\lambda \in \mathbb{R} : \lambda > 0, \dim(\lambda K \cap \Lambda \ge i)\}.$$

Clearly $\lambda_1(K, \Lambda) \leq \cdots \leq \lambda_n(K, \Lambda)$. The second fundamental theorem of Minkowski (see e.g. [9, s. 9.1, 9.4], [11], [13]) relates the successive minima with the volume of a convex body $K \in \mathcal{K}_0^n$:

(1.4)
$$\frac{2^n}{n!} \det \Lambda \le \lambda_1(K, \Lambda) \cdot \ldots \cdot \lambda_n(K, \Lambda) \mathbf{V}(K) \le 2^n \det \Lambda$$

In the case of the integer lattice \mathbb{Z}^n we will just write $\lambda_i(K)$ instead of $\lambda_i(K, \mathbb{Z}^n)$. In this paper we relate the successive minima with the inner and outer radii. Of course, the most natural inequalities between these two series would be of the type $\lambda_i(K)\mathbf{r}_j(K)$ or $\lambda_i(K)\mathbf{R}_j(K)$. The next proposition shows, however, that in general we can not bound these products.

Proposition 1.1. Let $K \in \mathcal{K}_0^n$. Then

$$\frac{1}{\mathcal{R}(K)} \le \lambda_i(K) \le \frac{1}{\mathcal{r}(K)}, \quad 1 \le i \le n.$$

In all other cases, the products $\lambda_i(K)\mathbf{r}_j(K)$ and $\lambda_i(K)\mathbf{R}_j(K)$ can not be bounded neither from above or below by a constant depending only on the dimension.

Therefore we consider products of several radii and successive minima.

Theorem 1.1. Let $K \in \mathcal{K}_0^n$. For $i = 1, \ldots, n-1$ we have

(1.5)
$$\lambda_{i+1}(K) \cdot \ldots \cdot \lambda_n(K) \mathbf{V}(K) \leq 2^n \mathbf{r}_1(K) \cdot \ldots \cdot \mathbf{r}_i(K),$$

(1.6)
$$\lambda_1(K) \cdot \ldots \cdot \lambda_i(K) \mathbf{V}(K) \ge \frac{2^n}{n!} \mathbf{R}_1(K) \cdot \ldots \cdot \mathbf{R}_{n-i}(K).$$

None of these inequalities can be improved.

By (1.1) we have $r_{n-j+1}(K) \leq R_j(K)$ and so:

Corollary 1.1. Let $K \in \mathcal{K}_0^n$. For $i = 1, \ldots, n-1$ we have

(1.7)
$$\lambda_{i+1}(K) \cdot \ldots \cdot \lambda_n(K) \mathbf{V}(K) \leq 2^n \mathbf{R}_{n-i+1}(K) \cdot \ldots \cdot \mathbf{R}_n(K),$$

(1.8)
$$\lambda_1(K) \cdot \ldots \cdot \lambda_i(K) \mathbf{V}(K) \ge \frac{2^n}{n!} \mathbf{r}_{i+1}(K) \cdot \ldots \cdot \mathbf{r}_n(K).$$

None of these inequalities can be improved.

For inequality (1.5) and inequality (1.7) (inequality (1.6) and inequality (1.8)), the "limit" case i = 0 (i = n), i.e., when no radii appear in the inequalities, is Minkowski's inequality (1.4). The "limit" case i = n (i = 0), i.e., when no successive minima appear in the formulae, gives the upper (lower) bounds for the volume in (1.2) and (1.3). Thus, these inequalities build a bridge between Minkowski's inequality and the known inequalities involving in- and outer radii.

In the next section we present the proofs of the main results, as well as some consequences for general (not necessarily *o*-symmetric) convex bodies.

2. Proofs of the main results

Before we start with the proof of Proposition 1.1 we have briefly to introduce the concept of polar bodies.

For a convex body $K \in \mathcal{K}^n$ containing the origin in its interior, the polar body of K is the convex body $K^* = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n : \langle x, y \rangle \leq 1, \text{ for all } x \in K\}$ (see e.g. [17, s. 1.6]). The in- and outer radii of a *o*-symmetric convex body $K \in \mathcal{K}_0^n$ and its polar are related by the following identity, for which we refer to [6, (1.2)]:

(2.1)
$$R_i(K^*) r_i(K) = 1 \text{ for } i = 1, ..., n.$$

Proof of Proposition 1.1. Since $r(K)B_n \subseteq K$ we obviously have

$$\lambda_i(K) \le \lambda_i(\mathbf{r}(K)B_n) = \frac{1}{\mathbf{r}(K)}\lambda_i(B_n) = \frac{1}{\mathbf{r}(K)}$$

for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Analogously, from $K \subseteq \mathbf{R}(K)B_n$ we find $\lambda_i(K) \geq 1/\mathbf{R}(K)$ and so we trivially get the inequalities in the proposition.

Next we show that the inequalities above are the only possible upper and lower bounds for the products $\lambda_i(K)\mathbf{r}_j(K)$ and $\lambda_i(K)\mathbf{R}_j(K)$. In order to see that there is no upper bound on $\lambda_i(K)\mathbf{r}_j(K)$, $j = 1, \ldots, n-1$, we consider the *j*-dimensional unit ball B_j embedded in a *j*-dimensional irrational plane $L \in \mathcal{L}_j^n$, i.e., $L \cap \mathbb{Z}^n = \{0\}$. Taking the convex hull of B_j and suitable points with irrational coordinates, close enough to L, we can find an *n*-dimensional convex body K_0 with $\mathbf{r}_j(K_0) = 1$ but arbitrarily large $\lambda_i(K_0)$.

The non-existence of lower bounds on $\lambda_i(K)\mathbf{r}_j(K)$, j = 2, ..., n, is shown by the following cross-polytope $C_n^*(m)$. For $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and i = 1, ..., n, let $v_i := (m^{i-1}, ..., m, 1, 0, ..., 0)^{\mathsf{T}} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and $C_n^*(m) := \operatorname{conv}\{\pm v_i : i = 1, ..., n\}$. $C_n^*(m)$ is a *o*-symmetric lattice cross-polytope containing the origin as the only interior lattice point. Hence

$$\lambda_i(C_n^*(m)) = 1$$

for all i = 1, ..., n and next we show the inner radii $r_j(C_n^*(m)), j = 2, ..., n$, can be arbitrarily small. Since r_j are decreasing in j it suffices to verify that for r_2 . Moreover, from $r_2(C_n^*(m)) \leq R_{n-1}(C_n^*(m))$ (cf. (1.1)) we just have to check that for a suitable projection π , the lengths of the projected vertices $\pi(v_i)$ can be made arbitrarily small. Let π be the orthogonal projection onto the hyperplane orthogonal to v_n . The k-th coordinate of the projection $\pi(v_i) = v_i - \langle v_i, v_n \rangle / |v_n|^2 v_n$ of v_i is given by

$$(\pi(v_i))_k = \begin{cases} m^{i-k} \frac{1+m^2+\dots+m^{2(n-i-1)}}{1+m^2+\dots+m^{2(n-1)}}, & \text{for } k=1,\dots,i, \\ -m^{2n-i-k} \frac{1+m^2+\dots+m^{2(i-1)}}{1+m^2+\dots+m^{2(n-1)}}, & \text{for } k=i+1,\dots,n. \end{cases}$$

Hence

$$\pi(v_i) = v_i - \frac{\langle v_i, v_n \rangle}{|v_n|^2} v_n \to (0, \dots, 0)^{\mathsf{T}} \quad \text{when} \quad m \to \infty,$$

and so $R_{n-1}(C_n^*(m))$ tends to zero as m approaches infinity.

In order to deal with the outer radii we use polarity. By (2.1) we may write

$$\lambda_i(K)\mathbf{R}_j(K) = \frac{\lambda_i(K)\lambda_{n-i+1}(K^*)}{\lambda_{n-i+1}(K^*)\mathbf{r}_j(K^*)}$$

By classical results in Geometry of Numbers we know that the numerator is bounded from above and below (cf. [8, Theorem 23.2]). Hence, by taking K as the polar body of $C_n^*(m)$ and the foregoing discussion on the inner radii we see that $\lambda_i(K)\mathbf{R}_j(K)$ is not bounded from above for $j \geq 2$; by taking $K = K_0^*$ we get that $\lambda_i(K) R_j(K)$ is not bounded from below for $j \leq n-1$.

Next we come to the proof of Theorem 1.1, providing upper and lower bounds for products of successive minima in terms of the in- and outer radii.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. We start with inequality (1.5). Let $z_1, \ldots, z_i \in K$ be *i* linearly independent points with $\lambda_j(K)z_j \in \lambda_j(K)K \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$. We consider a suitable (n-i)-dimensional coordinate plane $L_{n-i} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : x_{j_1} = \cdots = x_{j_i} = 0, j_k \in \{1, \ldots, n\}\}$ such that

(2.2)
$$\lim\{z_1,\ldots,z_i\}\cap L_{n-i}=\{0\}.$$

Denoting by \mathbb{Z}^{n-i} the sublattice of all points in L_{n-i} with integer coordinates, Minkowski's second fundamental theorem assures that

$$\lambda_1(K \cap L_{n-i}, \mathbb{Z}^{n-i}) \cdot \ldots \cdot \lambda_{n-i}(K \cap L_{n-i}, \mathbb{Z}^{n-i}) \mathbf{V}^{n-i}(K \cap L_{n-i}) \le 2^{n-i}.$$

From (2.2) we know that $\lambda_j(K \cap L_{n-i}, \mathbb{Z}^{n-i})K$ contains i + j linearly independent points of \mathbb{Z}^n , for $j = 1, \ldots, n - i$. Therefore,

$$\lambda_{i+j}(K) \le \lambda_j(K \cap L_{n-i}, \mathbb{Z}^{n-i}), \quad j = 1, \dots, n-i,$$

and hence

(2.3)
$$\lambda_{i+1}(K) \cdot \ldots \cdot \lambda_n(K) \mathbf{V}^{n-i}(K \cap L_{n-i}) \leq 2^{n-i}.$$

With $L_i = L_{n-i}^{\perp}$ we get by the *o*-symmetry of K (cf. [10])

$$\mathbf{V}^{n-i}(K \cap L_{n-i}) \ge \frac{\mathbf{V}(K)}{\mathbf{V}^i(K|L_i)}$$

Since $K|L_i$ is an *i*-dimensional *o*-symmetric convex body, we have (see [2, Theorem 2.1]) $V^i(K|L_i) \leq 2^i r_1(K|L_i) \cdot \ldots \cdot r_i(K|L_i)$. Together with $r_i(K|L_i) \leq r_i(K)$ (see [2, Lemma 2.1]), we get

$$\mathbf{V}^{i}(K|L_{i}) \leq 2^{i}\mathbf{r}_{1}(K) \cdot \ldots \cdot \mathbf{r}_{i}(K).$$

Therefore

$$\mathbf{V}^{n-i}(K \cap L_{n-i}) \ge \frac{\mathbf{V}(K)}{2^{i}\mathbf{r}_{1}(K) \cdot \ldots \cdot \mathbf{r}_{i}(K)}$$

and using (2.3) we obtain

$$\lambda_{i+1}(K) \cdot \ldots \cdot \lambda_n(K) \mathbf{V}(K) \leq 2^n \mathbf{r}_1(K) \cdot \ldots \cdot \mathbf{r}_i(K).$$

In order to show that inequality (1.5) can not be improved it suffices to consider the tightness of inequality (1.7) in Corollary 1.1. Let $Q(\mu)$ be the orthogonal parallelepiped with edge-lengths $\mu, \mu^2, \ldots, \mu^n$, for $\mu \ge 1$. The successive minima of such a box are $\lambda_j(Q(\mu)) = 2/\mu^{n-j+1}$, $j = 1, \ldots, n$, the outer radii R_j are given by $R_j(Q(\mu)) = (1/2) (\sum_{k=1}^j \mu^{2k})^{1/2}$ (see [5, Theorem 4.4]) and for the volume we find $V(Q(\mu)) = \mu \cdot \ldots \cdot \mu^n$. Thus

$$\frac{\prod_{j=i+1}^{n} \lambda_j(Q(\mu))}{\prod_{j=n-i+1}^{n} R_j(Q(\mu))} V(Q(\mu)) = 2^i \frac{2^{n-i} \mu^{n-i+1} \cdots \mu^n}{\prod_{j=n-i+1}^{n} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{j} \mu^{2k}\right)^{1/2}},$$

which tends to 2^n as μ approaches infinity.

Now we prove inequality (1.6). Again let $z_1, \ldots, z_i \in K$ be *i* linearly independent points with $\lambda_j(K)z_j \in \lambda_j(K)K \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$. We denote by $u_j := \lambda_j(K)z_j$, and we consider the *i*-dimensional sublattice Λ_i of \mathbb{Z}^n determined by $\{u_1, \ldots, u_i\}$. Clearly, det $\Lambda_i \geq 1$. Minkowski's lower bound in (1.4) gives $2^i = 2^i$

$$\frac{2^{i}}{i!} \leq \frac{2^{i}}{i!} \det \Lambda_{i} \leq \lambda_{1}(K \cap \ln \Lambda_{i}, \Lambda_{i}) \cdot \ldots \cdot \lambda_{i}(K \cap \ln \Lambda_{i}, \Lambda_{i}) \mathrm{V}^{i}(K \cap \ln \Lambda_{i}).$$

Since $\lambda_j(K \cap \ln \Lambda_i, \Lambda_i) = \lambda_j(K), \ 1 \le j \le i$, we can write

(2.4)
$$\frac{2^i}{i!} \le \lambda_1(K) \cdot \ldots \cdot \lambda_i(K) \mathbf{V}^i(K \cap \ln \Lambda_i).$$

With $L_{n-i} = (\ln \Lambda_i)^{\perp}$ we know that (see [16])

$$\mathbf{V}^{i}(K \cap \ln \Lambda_{i})\mathbf{V}^{n-i}(K|L_{n-i}) \leq {\binom{n}{i}}\mathbf{V}(K).$$

Since $K|L_{n-i}$ is an (n-i)-dimensional *o*-symmetric convex body we have (see [2, Theorem 2.1])

$$\mathbf{V}^{n-i}(K|L_{n-i}) \ge \frac{2^{n-i}}{(n-i)!} \mathbf{R}_1(K|L_{n-i}) \cdot \dots \cdot \mathbf{R}_{n-i}(K|L_{n-i}),$$

and since $R_j(K|L_{n-i}) \ge R_j(K)$ (see [2, Lemma 2.1]) we arrive at

$$\mathbf{V}^{n-i}(K|L_{n-i}) \ge \frac{2^{n-i}}{(n-i)!} \mathbf{R}_1(K) \cdot \ldots \cdot \mathbf{R}_{n-i}(K).$$

Therefore

$$\mathbf{V}^{i}(K \cap \ln \Lambda_{i}) \leq {\binom{n}{i}} \frac{\mathbf{V}(K)}{\mathbf{V}^{n-i}(K|L_{n-i})} \leq \frac{n!}{i!2^{n-i}} \frac{\mathbf{V}(K)}{\mathbf{R}_{1}(K) \cdot \ldots \cdot \mathbf{R}_{n-i}(K)},$$

and with (2.4) we get

$$\frac{2^n}{n!} \mathbf{R}_1(K) \cdot \ldots \cdot \mathbf{R}_{n-i}(K) \le \lambda_1(K) \cdot \ldots \cdot \lambda_i(K) \mathbf{V}(K).$$

To show that inequality (1.6) can not be improved it suffices to consider the tightness of inequality (1.8) in Corollary 1.1. We consider for $\mu > 1$ the orthogonal cross-polytope $C_n^*(\mu) := \operatorname{conv}\{\pm \mu^i e_i : i = 1, \ldots, n\}$, where e_i denotes the *i*-th canonical unit vector. The successive minima of such a cross-polytope are $\lambda_j(C_n^*(\mu)) = 1/\mu^{n-j+1}, j = 1, \ldots, n$, the inner radii r_j are given by $r_j(C_n^*(\mu)) = (\sum_{k=n-j+1}^n \mu^{-2k})^{-1/2}$ (see [5, Theorem 4.4]) and for its volume we find $V(C_n^*(\mu)) = (2^n/n!)\mu \cdot \ldots \cdot \mu^n$. Thus

$$\frac{\prod_{j=1}^{i} \lambda_j (C_n^*(\mu))}{\prod_{j=i+1}^{n} \mathbf{r}_j (C_n^*(\mu))} \mathbf{V} (C_n^*(\mu)) = \frac{2^n}{n!} \frac{\mu \cdot \dots \cdot \mu^{n-i}}{\prod_{j=i+1}^{n} (\sum_{k=n-j+1}^{n} \mu^{-2k})^{-1/2}},$$

which tends to $2^n/n!$ when $\mu \to \infty$.

In order to present some inequalities as in Theorem 1.1 for arbitrary convex bodies, we write DK = K + (-K) for the *difference body* of a convex body $K \in \mathcal{K}^n$. DK is certainly *o*-symmetric, and for further properties we refer for instance to [8, s. 9.5]. The *central symmetral* of K is just the convex body $\overline{K} = (1/2)DK$ (see [3, p. 79] for a study of this symmetrization).

As a consequence of Corollary 1.1 we get the following result for general convex bodies.

Corollary 2.1. Let $K \in \mathcal{K}^n$. For $i = 1, \ldots, n-1$ we have

(2.5)
$$\lambda_{i+1}(DK) \cdot \ldots \cdot \lambda_n(DK) V(K) \leq 2^i \mathbb{R}_{n-i+1}(K) \cdot \ldots \cdot \mathbb{R}_n(K).$$

(2.6)
$$\lambda_1(DK) \cdot \ldots \cdot \lambda_i(DK) \vee (DK) \ge \frac{2^{2n-i}}{n!} \mathbf{r}_{i+1}(K) \cdot \ldots \cdot \mathbf{r}_n(K).$$

None of these inequalities can be improved.

Proof. Let $K \in \mathcal{K}^n$. Inequality (1.7) and inequality (1.8) applied to the central symmetral \overline{K} give

$$\lambda_{i+1}(\overline{K}) \cdot \ldots \cdot \lambda_n(\overline{K}) \vee (\overline{K}) \le 2^n \mathcal{R}_{n-i+1}(\overline{K}) \cdot \ldots \cdot \mathcal{R}_n(\overline{K})$$

and

$$\lambda_1(\overline{K}) \cdot \ldots \cdot \lambda_i(\overline{K}) \vee (\overline{K}) \ge \frac{2^n}{n!} \mathbf{r}_{i+1}(\overline{K}) \cdot \ldots \cdot \mathbf{r}_n(\overline{K}).$$

It is well known that central symmetrization does not decrease the volume (cf. e.g. [3, p. 79]) and so we have $V(K) \leq V(\overline{K})$. Moreover, for the outer radii R_j it holds (see [12, Lemma 2.1]) $R_j(\overline{K}) \leq R_j(K)$, and for the inner radii r_j we have (see [12, Remark 2.1]) $r_j(\overline{K}) \geq r_j(K)$, j = 1, ..., n. Then writing $\overline{K} = (1/2)DK$ we obtain

$$2^{n-i}\lambda_{i+1}(DK)\cdot\ldots\cdot\lambda_n(DK)\mathbf{V}(K)\leq 2^n\mathbf{R}_{n-i+1}(K)\cdot\ldots\cdot\mathbf{R}_n(K)$$

and

$$2^{i}\lambda_{1}(DK)\cdot\ldots\cdot\lambda_{i}(DK)\frac{1}{2^{n}}\mathbf{V}(DK)\geq\frac{2^{n}}{n!}\mathbf{r}_{i+1}(K)\cdot\ldots\cdot\mathbf{r}_{n}(K),$$

which prove the result. The same orthogonal parallelepiped and the same orthogonal cross-polytope considered in the proof of Theorem 1.1 show that inequality (2.5) and inequality (2.6), respectively, can not be improved.

Remark 2.1. In Corollary 2.1 the well-known Rogers-Shephard inequality $V(DK) \leq \binom{2n}{n}V(K)$ (see [17, s. 7.3]) can be used in order to express inequality (2.6) in terms of the volume of K. But then the bound is not best possible.

We finally remark that identity (2.1) allows to express the inequalities in Theorem 1.1 in terms of the in- and outer radii of the polar body.

Remark 2.2. Let $K \in \mathcal{K}_0^n$. For $i = 1, \ldots, n-1$ we have

$$\lambda_{i+1}(K) \cdot \ldots \cdot \lambda_n(K) \operatorname{R}_1(K^*) \cdot \ldots \cdot \operatorname{R}_i(K^*) \operatorname{V}(K) \le 2^n,$$

$$\lambda_1(K) \cdot \ldots \cdot \lambda_i(K) \operatorname{r}_1(K^*) \cdot \ldots \cdot \operatorname{r}_{n-i}(K^*) \operatorname{V}(K) \ge \frac{-1}{n!}.$$

None of these inequalities can be improved.

In the same way we can rewrite Corollary 1.1 and Corollary 2.1 in terms of the radii of the polar body.

References

- K. Ball, Ellipsoids of maximal volume in convex bodies, Geom. Dedicata 41 (1992), 241-250.
- [2] U. Betke, M. Henk, Estimating sizes of a convex body by successive diameters and widths, *Mathematika* 39 (2) (1992), 247–257.
- [3] T. Bonnesen, W. Fenchel, *Theorie der konvexen Körper*. Springer, Berlin, 1934, 1974.
 English translation: *Theory of convex bodies*. Edited by L. Boron, C. Christenson and B. Smith. BCS Associates, Moscow, ID, 1987.
- [4] K. Böröczky Jr., M. Henk, Radii and the sausage conjecture. Canad. Math. Bull. 38 (2) (1995), 156–166.
- [5] R. Brandenberg, Radii of regular polytopes, Discrete Comput. Geom. 33 (1) (2005), 43–55.
- [6] P. Gritzmann, V. Klee, Inner and outer *j*-radii of convex bodies in finite-dimensional normed spaces, *Discrete Comput. Geom.* 7 (1992), 255-280.
- [7] P. Gritzmann, V. Klee, Computational complexity of inner and outer *j*-radii of polytopes in finite-dimensional normed spaces, *Math. Program.* 59 (1993) 163–213.
- [8] P. M. Gruber, Convex and Discrete Geometry. Springer, Berlin Heidelberg, 2007.
- [9] P. M. Gruber, C. G. Lekkerkerker, *Geometry of Numbers*. North Holland, Amsterdam, 1987.
- [10] M. Henk, Inequalities Between Successive Minima and Intrinsic Volumes of a Convex Body, Mh. Math. 110 (1990), 279–282.
- M. Henk, Successive minima and lattice points, *Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo* (2) Suppl. No. 70, part I (2002), 377–384.
- [12] M. Henk, M. A. Hernández Cifre, Intrinsic volumes and successive radii, Preprint.
- [13] H. Minkowski, Geometrie der Zahlen. Leipzig, Berlin 1896, 1910; New York 1953.
- [14] G. Ya. Perel'man, On the k-radii of a convex body, (Russian) Sibirsk. Mat. Zh. 28
 (4) (1987), 185–186. English translation: Siberian Math. J. 28 (4) (1987), 665–666.
- [15] S. V. Puhov, Inequalities for the Kolmogorov and Bernšteĭn widths in Hilbert space, (Russian) Mat. Zametki 25 (4) (1979), 619–628, 637. English translation: Math. Notes 25 (4) (1979), 320–326.
- [16] C. A. Rogers, G. C. Shephard, Convex bodies associated with a given convex body, J. London Math. Soc. 33 (1958), 270–281.
- [17] R. Schneider, Convex Bodies: The Brunn-Minkowski Theory, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993.

INSTITUT FÜR ALGEBRA UND GEOMETRIE, OTTO-VON-GUERICKE UNIVERSITÄT MAG-DEBURG, UNIVERSITÄTSPLATZ 2, D-39106 MAGDEBURG, GERMANY

E-mail address: henk@math.uni-magdeburg.de

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICAS, UNIVERSIDAD DE MURCIA, CAMPUS DE ESPINAR-DO, 30100-MURCIA, SPAIN

E-mail address: mhcifre@um.es